Health Equity Forward

Emergency Medical Treatment and Labor Act (EMTALA) Policy Breakdown

Avantika Arvind[1,2], Lasya Arvind[1,2]
[1] Health Equity Forward
[2] University of Washington

Overview

Emergency services in hospitals help save lives by providing fast care for patients. This means they are integral in many situations, including those that involve sudden, serious injuries or illnesses like heart attacks, severe trauma, or strokes. The Emergency Medical Treatment and Labor Act or EMTALA, was a federal act enacted in 1986 by Congress that required Medicare-participating hospitals with emergency departments to screen and stabilize any patient experiencing an emergency medical condition, regardless of their ability to pay. It ensured that when a patient who required emergency services arrived at one of these hospitals, they must provide a medical screening examination, stabilizing treatment, and/or an appropriate transfer if lacking specialized resources ("Emergency Medical Treatment & Labor Act," 2026).

Who it affects

The law itself applies to Medicare-participating hospitals. The reason for this was because tying rules to federal money avoids direct control over private or state health centers. This is effective because the federal government can require hospitals to follow certain rules as a condition of receiving Medicare funding rather than directly controlling how private or state-run hospitals operate. In addition, almost all hospitals take Medicare, so this rule covers nearly 98% of US facilities, making it very effective and practical (Zibulewsky, 2001). As for who EMTALA protects, any individual, not just medicare beneficiaries, insured individuals, or U.S. citizens, are covered by EMTALA and should be provided with treatment.

Strength of Policy

Like every law, there are both strengths and shortcomings of the EMTALA policy. Strengths include universal and equitable access. Anyone, regardless of race, income, immigration status, or insurance, can receive an emergency evaluation. EMTALA also prevents "patient dumping." It prohibits hospitals from transferring or turning away patients who may be considered unstable or a burden for financial reasons or any personal biases. It also explicitly covers active labor and emergency obstetric conditions that require immediate stabilizing care, providing an extra layer of protection and care for pregnant patients. EMTALA is also a strong policy as it has federal enforcement. Since it is backed by strict federal penalties, including heavy fines and potential exclusion from the Medicare program for non-compliant facilities, it makes it so that following the policy is the best option.

Shortcomings of Policy

As for shortcomings of EMTALA, there are a few that should be noted. Primarily, EMTALA creates financial stress for hospitals. Congress requires hospitals to provide care but

does not give them any federal funding to pay for it. This means that they must turn to raising prices for insured patients to cover the unpaid costs of treating uninsured emergencies, digging a deeper hole for themselves. These financial concerns may also discourage some patients from seeking emergency care in the first place, causing them to delay treatment until their condition becomes more serious and potentially leading to worse health outcomes. In addition, there is a lot of gray area, as with many laws and policies. Hospitals only have to stabilize the immediate emergency according to EMTALA, not treat the underlying chronic disease or provide follow-up care. In addition, doctors sometimes struggle to define exactly when a patient is legally “stable” enough to be discharged or transferred. As a result, patients may not receive the full care they need beyond the immediate emergency.

Possible Improvements

There seem to be many suggestions for reforms. The most critical include, firstly, creating federal funding for emergency care. This would be something like Congress creating a specific federal fund to repay hospitals for the uncompensated emergency care they give to uninsured patients, although the amount they provide and how often would need to be worked out carefully. One possible approach to this would be for Congress to expand existing Medicaid Disproportionate Share Hospital (DSH) payments, which already provide funding to hospitals that serve large numbers of Medicaid and uninsured patients to help offset their uncompensated care costs. In addition, a possible solution could be to increase Medicaid payments to hospitals that take on the highest number of EMTALA cases. Some other improvements focus more on legal and regulatory updates. For example, issuing updated and objective medical criteria to define exactly when a patient is legally stable may aid in reducing confusion for doctors.

Conclusion

EMTALA, like many other laws and policies, is far from perfect. However, it is an important and critical policy that should be kept in place to aid individuals and help work toward equity in healthcare. With close monitoring and changes to align with changing state laws, it will definitely provide valuable protection for individuals that benefits the majority in the future.

Acknowledgements

Thank you to members of the Policy Coordinator Team for revisions and editing.

Health Equity Forward

Resources

1. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. (2023). Emergency Medical Treatment & Labor Act (EMTALA). In CMS.gov. https://www.cms.gov/medicare/regulations-guidance/legislation/emergency-medical-treatment-labor-act
2. Zibulewsky, J. (2021). The Emergency Medical Treatment and Active Labor Act (EMTALA): what it is and what it means for physicians. Proceedings (Baylor University. Medical Center), 14(4), 339–346. https://doi.org/10.1080/08998280.2001.11927785
3. Improper patient transfer: EMTALA case study. (2021). In Tmlt.org. https://www.tmlt.org/case-studies/improper-patient-transfer-emtala-case-study